UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,560	06/30/2003	Andrew J. Carroll	020431.1292	5995
	7590 05/01/200 OGIES US, INC.	EXAMINER		
ONE i2 PLACE	E, 11701 LUNA ROAD)	LEE, PHILIP C	
DALLAS, TX 75234			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2448	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

DATE MAILED:

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10611560	6/30/2003	CARROLL ET AL.	020431.1292	
		EXAMINER		
i2 TECHNOLOGIES U ONE i2 PLACE, 11701	•	PHILIP C. LEE		
DALLAS, TX 75234			ART UNIT	PAPER
			2448	20090429

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

This is a Supplemental Notice of Non-Responsive Amendment correcting an omission of Time Periods for Reply in the Notice of Non-Responsive Amendment mailed on 4/24/09. The Supplemental Advisory Action indicates the Time Periods for Reply for the Notice of Non-Responsive Amendment is set to One (1) Month or Thirty (30) Days from the mailing data of the Non-Responsive Amendment.

According to 37 CFR 1.111 (b) and 37 CFR 1.111 (c), (b) In order to be entitled to reconsideration or further examination, the applicant or patent owner must reply to the Office action. The reply by the applicant or patent owner must be reduced to a writing which distinctly and specifically points out the supposed errors in the examiner's action and must reply to every ground of objection and rejection in the prior Office action. The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. If the reply is with respect to an application, a request may be made that objections or requirements as to form not necessary to further consideration of the claims be held in abeyance until allowable subject matter is indicated. The applicant's or patent owner's reply must appear throughout to be a bona fide attempt to advance the application or the reexamination proceeding to final action. A general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. (c) In amending in reply to a rejection of claims in an application or patent under reexamination, the applicant or patent owner must clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. The applicant or patent owner must also show how the amendments avoid such references or objections.

The amendment filed on 01/29/09 does not present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references.

The reply filed on 1/29/09 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): Please see reasons above. See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be bona fide, applicant is given ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

/Philip C Lee/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2448

PTO-90C (Rev.04-03)